## Orange Country HOUSING REPORT

## Orange County Housing Report: V-Shaped Recovery

May 18, 2020
Propelled by record low mortgage rates, buyers are jumping back in the housing market.


## Demand Spikes: Demand surged in the past couple of weeks with a $38 \%$ rise.

COVID-19 has impacted the economy across the board. The economic data prior to the Coronavirus was pumping on all cylinders. Consumer confidence, consumption, unemployment, housing, stocks, leading economic indicators, everything was pointing to a phenomenal 2020. After the virus broke, every chart was impacted severely. Housing was no exception.

Experts have been debating what the economic recovery will look like. Initially, some experts were calling for a quick rebound, a "V-Shaped" bounce. That is when the economy rises nearly as fast as it falls. Yet, with more time to reflect on all the data, most experts now agree that it will be a "U-Shaped" recovery, one that after hitting a bottom will slowly but surely turn upward. The best analogy is a dimmer switch. As the dial is slowly turned, the economy will continue to accelerate until one day it is pumping on all cylinders again.

Housing is proving that it is an exception and is currently experiencing a "V-Shaped" recovery with demand soaring $38 \%$ in the past two weeks. How can that be? The sleeping giant has awakened. Even though life as everybody knows it has been turned upside down and California has only moved to "Phase 2," record low interest rates are instigating demand. Dawning masks and gloves, buyers are viewing homes again and making offers.

Prior to the "stay at home" order in mid-March, housing was a sizzling hot Seller's Market with extraordinarily little inventory and unbelievable demand. It was the hottest start to a Spring Market since 2013, a spring to remember for Orange County housing. Low mortgage rates, averaging $3.75 \%$, was stoking the fires of demand. When the virus hit, demand plunged, and the market slowed.


Now that it has been a couple of months, flattening the Coronavirus curve has been successful so far. Slowly but surely more of the economy is coming back online. As a result, eager buyers who had been sitting on the fence waiting to purchase are jumping back in and ready to take advantage of record low mortgage rates at $3.25 \%$.

In the past couple of weeks, demand (the last 30-days of pending sales) jumped from 1,172 pending sales to 1,622, a $38 \%$ rise. It was last at this level in mid-January. Typically, during this time of the year demand has already peaked and it does not change much at all. Not this year. Demand is in recovery mode and the sharp increase indicates that it is " V Shaped."

While some thought the housing market would take a major hit because of the Coronavirus, that could not be further from the truth. The low mortgage rate environment is a catalyst that has reignited demand. Despite furloughs and unimaginable unemployment, local real estate is revving its massive engine once again. Many are wondering where the demand is coming from. A lot of people are still gainfully employed, willing, and able to purchase. With rates at a record low, home affordability has dramatically improved from earlier in the year. The market was hot back then and it is no wonder that it is heating up again.

The active inventory climbed by $5 \%$ in the past two weeks, close to the 5 -year average of $4.4 \%$ during this time of the year. The inventory remains at lows last seen in 2013. Surging demand is outpacing the rise in supply, resulting in the Expected Market Time (the time between hammering in the FOR-SALE sign and opening escrow) tumbling from 118 days to 90 days, a slight Seller's Market (between 60 and 90 days). That is a market where sellers get to call more of the shots, yet home values are not changing much at all. Last year at this time, the Expected Market Time was at 84 days very close to today.


Recent weeks have proven that the housing market is extremely resilient and a bright spot in the economy. Buyers can expect housing to improve from here as demand continues to rebound. This is not the Great Recession when real estate was a house of cards ready to collapse. Back then it was a bubble fueled by mass speculation, subprime lending, pick-apayment plans, a wave of cash out refinancing, zero down payments, and fraudulent lending practices. Mortgage rates were at $6.35 \%$.

That was then, this is now. The current housing stock was built on tight lending requirements. Buyers have had to prove that they could afford the monthly mortgage payment. There were very few cash out refinances, large down payments are the norm, and there is plenty of nested equity.

This time around housing is built on a strong foundation. It may prove to be a catalyst to an eventual economic recovery.

## Active Inventory: The current active inventory increased by $5 \%$ in the past two-weeks.

The active listing inventory increased by 242 homes in the past two-weeks, up $5 \%$, and now sits at 4,867 . It has grown by 523 homes in the past month, up $12 \%$. Demand has not quite recovered and is still off by $39 \%$. Even with a reduction in demand, the overall inventory is not increasing as swiftly as it would have had the typical number of homeowners entered the fray. COVID-19 is suppressing the number of homeowners coming on the market. In the past 4 -weeks, there were $38 \%$ fewer new FOR SALE signs compared to the prior 5 -year average. Two weeks ago, it was a $54 \%$ difference, so the gap is beginning to narrow. More sellers are thawing to the idea of selling now as well.

Last year at this time, there were 7,413 homes on the market, 2,546 more than today, a $52 \%$ difference. There were a lot more choices for buyers last year.


Luxury End: Luxury demand surged in the past couple of weeks.
In the past two-weeks, demand for homes above $\$ 1.25$ million increased by 66 pending sales, up $42 \%$, and now totals 223, nearly matching where it was at the very start of the year. The luxury home inventory increased by 98 homes, up $6 \%$, and now totals 1,669 . With a dramatic improvement in demand, which is outpacing the rise in the inventory, the overall Expected Market Time for homes priced above $\$ 1.25$ million decreased from 300 to 225 days in the past couple of weeks. Four weeks ago, it was at 322 days. The luxury market is thawing.

Year over year, luxury demand is down by 138 pending sales, or $38 \%$, and the active luxury listing inventory is down by 814 homes, or $33 \%$. The Expected Market Time last year was at 206 days, slightly better than today.

For homes priced between $\$ 1.25$ million and $\$ 1.5$ million, in the past two-weeks, the Expected Market Time decreased from 192 to 129 days. For homes priced between $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 2$ million, the Expected Market Time decreased from 229 to 158 days. For homes priced between $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 4$ million, the Expected Market Time decreased from 418 to 368 days. For homes priced above $\$ 4$ million, the Expected Market Time decreased from 586 to 540 days. At 586 days, a seller would be looking at placing their home into escrow around November 2021.

|  | Expected Market Time | Share of Housing Inventory | Share of Current Demand | Last Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O.C. \$0-\$750k | 61 Days | 36\% | 53\% | 61 Days |
| O.C. \$750k-\$1m | 68 Days | 19\% | 25\% | 63 Days |
| O.C. \$1m-\$1.25m | 120 Days | 11\% | 8\% | 93 Days |
| O.C. \$1.25m-\$1.5m | 129 Days | 7\% | 5\% | 125 Days |
| O.C. $\$ 1.5 m-\$ 2 m$ | 158 Days | 8\% | 4\% | 174 Days |
| O.C. $\$ 2 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 4 \mathrm{~m}$ | 368 Days | 13\% | 3\% | 262 Days |
| O.C. \$4m+ | 540 Days | 6\% | 1\% | 568 Days |
| "2eporssin |  |  |  |  |



## Orange County Housing Market Summary:

- The active listing inventory increased by 242 homes in the past two-weeks, up $5 \%$, and now totals 4,867 . In the past four-weeks, $38 \%$ fewer homes were placed on the market compared to the prior 5 -year average; thus, COVID-19 is suppressing the inventory. It was $54 \%$ fewer two-weeks ago. Last year, there were 7,413 homes on the market, 2,546 more than today, a $52 \%$ difference.
- Demand, the number of pending sales over the prior month, increased by 450 pending sales in the past twoweeks, up $38 \%$, and now totals 1,622 , the second largest increase of the year. In the past 5 -years, demand has dropped an average of $1 \%$. COVID-19's effect on housing is beginning to diminish. Last year, there were 2,655 pending sales, $64 \%$ more than today.
- The Expected Market Time for all of Orange County decreased from 118 days to 90 , a slight Seller's Market (between 60 and 90 days). The drop was due to the surge in demand outpacing the rise in the supply. It was at 84 days last year, slightly better than today.
- For homes priced below $\$ 750,000$, the market is a slight Seller's Market with an expected market time of 61 days. This range represents $36 \%$ of the active inventory and $53 \%$ of demand.
- For homes priced between $\$ 750,000$ and $\$ 1$ million, the expected market time is 68 days, a slight Seller's Market. This range represents $19 \%$ of the active inventory and $25 \%$ of demand.
- For homes priced between $\$ 1$ million to $\$ 1.25$ million, the expected market time is 120 days, a Balanced Market (between 90 and 120 days).
- For luxury homes priced between $\$ 1.25$ million and $\$ 1.5$ million, in the past two weeks, the Expected Market Time decreased from 192 to 129 days. For homes priced between $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 2$ million, the Expected Market Time decreased from 229 to 158 days. For luxury homes priced between $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 4$ million, the Expected Market Time decreased from 418 to 368 days. For luxury homes priced above $\$ 4$ million, the Expected Market Time decreased from 586 to 540 days.
- The luxury end, all homes above $\$ 1.25$ million, accounts for $34 \%$ of the inventory and only $13 \%$ of demand.
- Distressed homes, both short sales and foreclosures combined, made up only $0.9 \%$ of all listings and $1.4 \%$ of demand. There are only 18 foreclosure s and 24 short sales available to purchase today in all of Orange County, 42 total distressed homes on the active market, down 2 from two-weeks ago. Last year there were 63 total distressed homes on the market, slightly more than today.
- There were 1,712 closed residential resales in April, 34\% fewer than April 2019's 2,599 closed sales. This entirely due to COVID-19 suppressing both supply and demand. April marked a $28 \%$ drop compared to March 2020. The sales to list price ratio was $98.3 \%$ for all of Orange County. Foreclosures accounted for just $0.3 \%$ of all closed sales, and short sales accounted for $0.4 \%$. That means that $99.3 \%$ of all sales were good ol' fashioned sellers with equity.

|  | Current <br> Actives <br> 5/14/2020 | Demand <br> (Last 30 Days Pending s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Market } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (In Days) } \\ & 5 / 14 / 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Market Time 2-Weeks Ago 4/30/2020 | Market Time 4-Weeks Ago 4/16/2020 | Market Time 1-Year Ago 5/16/2019 | Market Time 2-Years Ago 5/17/2018 | Average Active List Price 5/14/2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aliso Viejo | 61 | 39 | 47 | 58 | 59 | 55 | 33 | \$749k |
| Anaheim | 166 | 76 | 66 | 79 | 76 | 42 | 50 | \$632k |
| Anaheim Hills | 78 | 36 | 65 | 52 | 66 | 62 | 70 | \$1.0m |
| Brea | 64 | 23 | 83 | 79 | 84 | 62 | 52 | \$956k |
| Buena Park | 43 | 26 | 50 | 83 | 51 | 50 | 49 | \$663k |
| Corona Del Mar | 114 | 12 | 285 | 284 | 500 | 540 | 109 | \$5.4m |
| Costa Mesa | 118 | 48 | 74 | 93 | 108 | 82 | 70 | \$1.0m |
| Coto De Caza | 79 | 12 | 198 | 196 | 233 | 165 | 170 | \$2.3m |
| Cypress | 37 | 22 | 50 | 62 | 92 | 54 | 31 | \$727k |
| Dana Point | 184 | 25 | 221 | 241 | 215 | 130 | 114 | \$3.2m |
| Dove Canyon | 15 | 3 | 150 | 150 | 360 | 150 | 67 | \$1.2m |
| Foothill Ranch | 14 | 15 | 28 | 84 | 75 | 78 | 24 | \$792k |
| Fountain Valley | 41 | 25 | 49 | 102 | 71 | 35 | 44 | \$805k |
| Fullerton | 132 | 56 | 71 | 102 | 69 | 62 | 45 | \$943k |
| Garden Grove | 94 | 59 | 48 | 57 | 77 | 43 | 37 | \$709k |
| Huntington Beach | 313 | 107 | 88 | 123 | 126 | 79 | 48 | \$1.3m |
| Irvine | 618 | 121 | 153 | 219 | 235 | 97 | 61 | \$1.4m |
| La Habra | 46 | 35 | 39 | 58 | 42 | 75 | 56 | \$621k |
| La Palma | 6 | 9 | 20 | 23 | 53 | 24 | 30 | \$786k |
| Ladera Ranch | 66 | 36 | 55 | 84 | 106 | 74 | 47 | \$1.2m |
| Laguna Beach | 210 | 19 | 332 | 448 | 633 | 327 | 259 | \$4.5m |
| Laguna Hills | 68 | 20 | 102 | 128 | 207 | 59 | 68 | \$1.5m |
| Laguna Niguel | 168 | 65 | 78 | 118 | 102 | 107 | 75 | \$1.4m |
| Laguna Woods | 193 | 39 | 148 | 210 | 201 | 126 | 67 | \$351k |
| Lake Forest | 95 | 42 | 68 | 87 | 150 | 56 | 41 | \$822k |
| Los Alamitos | 12 | 8 | 45 | 120 | 135 | 65 | 39 | \$818k |
| Mission Viejo | 144 | 89 | 49 | 73 | 75 | 78 | 72 | \$838k |
| Newport Beach | 299 | 43 | 209 | 243 | 338 | 164 | 138 | \$3.2m |
| Newport Coast | 76 | 9 | 253 | 350 | 274 | 196 | 190 | \$5.2m |
| North Tustin | 45 | 11 | 123 | 123 | 102 | 80 | 83 | \$2.3m |
| Orange | 169 | 59 | 86 | 100 | 80 | 57 | 41 | \$990k |
| Placentia | 41 | 31 | 40 | 55 | 52 | 37 | 39 | \$680k |
| Portola Hills | 23 | 12 | 58 | 49 | 71 | 70 | 33 | \$929k |
| Rancho Mission Viejo | 66 | 21 | 94 | 210 | 111 | 81 | 133 | \$859k |
| Rancho Santa Marg. | 42 | 45 | 28 | 50 | 64 | 62 | 27 | \$660k |
| Rossmoor | 13 | 6 | 65 | 72 | 50 | 110 | 67 | \$1.5m |
| San Clemente | 176 | 55 | 96 | 155 | 147 | 82 | 77 | \$1.3m |
| San Juan | 99 | 23 | 129 | 127 | 124 | 126 | 104 | \$2.0m |
| Santa Ana | 148 | 56 | 79 | 92 | 91 | 72 | 49 | \$611k |
| Seal Beach | 116 | 24 | 145 | 174 | 170 | 136 | 83 | \$477k |
| Stanton | 13 | 8 | 49 | 47 | 39 | 70 | 33 | \$804k |
| Talega | 45 | 11 | 123 | 176 | 222 | 53 | 86 | \$1.1m |
| Tustin | 82 | 38 | 65 | 83 | 111 | 68 | 41 | \$1.0m |
| Villa Park | 20 | 3 | 200 | 315 | 240 | 81 | 52 | \$1.7m |
| Westminster | 46 | 20 | 69 | 113 | 82 | 49 | 46 | \$753k |
| Yorba Linda | 136 | 62 | 66 | 87 | 98 | 97 | 56 | \$1.6m |
| All of O.C. | 4,867 | 1,622 | 90 | 118 | 121 | 84 | 63 | \$1.6m |
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## Attached Homes

|  | Current <br> Actives <br> 5/14/2020 | Demand <br> (Last 30 <br> Days <br> Pending | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Market } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (In Days) } \\ & 5 / 14 / 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Market Time 2-Weeks 4/30/2020 | Market Time 4-Weeks 4/16/2020 | Market Time 1-Year Ago 5/16/2019 | Market Time 2-Years 5/17/2018 | Average Active List Price 5/14/2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All of O.C. | 1,734 | 617 | 84 | 115 | 117 | 83 | 52 | \$725k |
| O.C. \$0-\$250k | 115 | 33 | 105 | 149 | 138 | 93 | 52 | \$189k |
| O.C. \$250k-\$500k | 561 | 263 | 64 | 85 | 85 | 69 | 41 | \$390k |
| O.C. \$500k-\$750k | 579 | 235 | 74 | 108 | 106 | 74 | 46 | \$627k |
| O.C. \$750k-\$1m | 218 | 52 | 126 | 149 | 185 | 106 | 66 | \$860k |
| O.C. \$1m+ | 261 | 34 | 230 | 306 | 415 | 220 | 144 | \$1.8m |

Current Actives Vacant
32.0\%

Detached Homes

|  | Current <br> Actives <br> 5/14/2020 | Demand <br> (Last 30 <br> Days <br> Pending | $\begin{gathered} \text { Market } \\ \text { Time } \\ \text { (In Days) } \\ 5 / 14 / 2020 \end{gathered}$ | Market Time 2-Weeks 4/30/2020 | Market Time 4-Weeks 4/16/2020 | Market Time 1-Year Ago 5/16/2019 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Market } \\ \text { Time } \\ 2-Y e a r s \\ 5 / 17 / 2018 \end{gathered}$ | Average Active List Price 5/14/2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All of O.C. | 3,133 | 1,005 | 94 | 120 | 123 | 84 | 70 | \$2.1m |
| O.C. \$0-\$500k | 31 | 20 | 47 | 71 | 78 | 31 | 34 | \$398k |
| O.C. \$500k-\$750k | 448 | 303 | 44 | 56 | 49 | 46 | 39 | \$669k |
| O.C. \$750k-\$1m | 718 | 361 | 60 | 77 | 85 | 57 | 47 | \$884k |
| O.C. \$1m-\$1.25m | 439 | 121 | 109 | 153 | 169 | 87 | 76 | \$1.1m |
| O.C. \$1.25m-\$1.5m | 298 | 76 | 118 | 173 | 196 | 119 | 89 | \$1.4m |
| O.C. \$1.5m-\$2m | 325 | 60 | 163 | 246 | 227 | 161 | 105 | \$1.8m |
| O.C. \$2m-\$4m | 580 | 47 | 370 | 395 | 350 | 258 | 195 | \$2.9m |
| O.C. \$4m+ | 294 | 17 | 519 | 568 | 1084 | 565 | 425 | \$8.6m |
| Current Actives Vacant |  | 42.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## All Homes

|  | Current <br> Actives <br> 5/14/2020 | Demand <br> (Last 30 Days Pending | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Market } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (In Days) } \\ & 5 / 14 / 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Market Time 2-Weeks 4/30/2020 | Market Time 4-Weeks 4/16/2020 | Market Time 1-Year Ago 5/16/2019 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Market } \\ \text { Time } \\ \text { 2-Years } \\ 5 / 17 / 2018 \end{gathered}$ | Average Active List Price 5/14/2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All of O.C. | 4,867 | 1,622 | 90 | 118 | 121 | 84 | 63 | \$1.6m |
| O.C. \$0-\$500k | 707 | 316 | 67 | 91 | 90 | 68 | 42 | \$358k |
| O.C. \$500k-\$750k | 1,027 | 538 | 57 | 77 | 70 | 56 | 42 | \$645k |
| O.C. \$750k-\$1m | 936 | 413 | 68 | 86 | 96 | 63 | 49 | \$879k |
| O.C. \$1m-\$1.25m | 528 | 132 | 120 | 165 | 185 | 93 | 82 | \$1.1m |
| O.C. \$1.25m-\$1.5m | 357 | 83 | 129 | 192 | 205 | 125 | 90 | \$1.4m |
| O.C. \$1.5m-\$2m | 380 | 72 | 158 | 229 | 252 | 174 | 108 | \$1.7m |
| O.C. \$2m-\$4m | 626 | 51 | 368 | 418 | 363 | 262 | 197 | \$2.9m |
| O.C. \$4m+ | 306 | 17 | 540 | 586 | 1,118 | 568 | 426 | \$8.5m |

Current Actives Vacant $\quad 19.7 \%$

|  | Units <br> Sold <br> Apr <br> 2020 | Average Sales Price | Average List Price | Sales to List Price Ratio | Low Price | High Price | Avg. Square Feet | Avg. \$ Per Square Feet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Units } \\ \text { Sold } \\ \text { Apr } 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aliso Viejo | 37 | \$697,931 | \$703,017 | 99.3\% | \$335k | \$1.2m | 1,633 | \$427 | 65 |
| Anaheim | 81 | \$548,543 | \$548,312 | 100.0\% | \$169k | \$798k | 1,398 | \$392 | 129 |
| Anaheim Hills | 53 | \$868,420 | \$892,686 | 97.3\% | \$378k | \$2.5m | 2,401 | \$362 | 48 |
| Brea | 27 | \$733,000 | \$725,176 | 101.1\% | \$425k | \$1.2m | 1,948 | \$376 | 31 |
| Buena Park | 17 | \$667,200 | \$665,806 | 100.2\% | \$457k | \$920k | 1,651 | \$404 | 47 |
| Corona Del Mar | 21 | \$2,612,631 | \$2,764,714 | 94.5\% | \$1.1m | \$8.4m | 2,023 | \$1,291 | 20 |
| Costa Mesa | 55 | \$989,233 | \$996,333 | 99.3\% | \$398k | \$2.8m | 1,799 | \$550 | 83 |
| Coto De Caza | 10 | \$1,192,850 | \$1,172,700 | 101.7\% | \$549k | \$1.8m | 3,198 | \$373 | 29 |
| Cypress | 21 | \$680,357 | \$680,164 | 100.0\% | \$449k | \$890k | 1,550 | \$439 | 38 |
| Dana Point | 20 | \$2,144,213 | \$2,239,244 | 95.8\% | \$670k | \$14.4m | 2,791 | \$768 | 55 |
| Dove Canyon | 3 | \$956,667 | \$916,667 | 104.4\% | \$800k | \$1.1m | 2,581 | \$376 | 6 |
| Foothill Ranch | 14 | \$684,200 | \$683,414 | 100.1\% | \$325k | \$949k | 1,650 | \$425 | 14 |
| Fountain Valley | 26 | \$782,423 | \$765,408 | 102.2\% | \$325k | \$1.2m | 1,779 | \$440 | 36 |
| Fullerton | 68 | \$695,800 | \$692,017 | 100.5\% | \$238k | \$1.9m | 1,748 | \$398 | 79 |
| Garden Grove | 60 | \$624,202 | \$626,238 | 99.7\% | \$299k | \$919k | 1,472 | \$424 | 120 |
| Huntington Beach | 102 | \$968,240 | \$986,385 | 98.2\% | \$141k | \$4.5m | 1,783 | \$543 | 157 |
| Irvine | 129 | 1,057,930 | \$1,085,143 | 97.5\% | \$320k | \$5.8m | 2,137 | \$495 | 239 |
| La Habra | 34 | \$552,618 | \$546,847 | 101.1\% | \$290k | \$915k | 1,449 | \$381 | 55 |
| La Palma | 8 | \$775,238 | \$774,950 | 100.0\% | \$405k | \$894k | 2,018 | \$384 | 3 |
| Ladera Ranch | 31 | \$865,035 | \$867,880 | 99.7\% | \$415k | \$2.0m | 2,305 | \$375 | 43 |
| Laguna Beach | 15 | \$1,899,600 | \$1,988,567 | 95.5\% | \$520k | \$5.0m | 2,186 | 5869 | 34 |
| Laguna Hills | 19 | \$1,056,900 | \$1,104,032 | 95.7\% | \$330k | \$3.5m | 2,402 | \$440 | 24 |
| Laguna Niguel | 57 | \$825,698 | \$839,436 | 98.4\% | \$310k | \$2.3m | 1,876 | \$440 | 102 |
| Laguna Woods | 56 | \$314,970 | \$321,096 | 98.1\% | \$45k | \$804k | 1,103 | \$285 | 56 |
| Lake Forest | 33 | \$682,219 | \$676,219 | 100.9\% | \$336k | \$899k | 1,864 | \$393 | 87 |
| Los Alamitos | 3 | \$841,667 | \$837,333 | 100.5\% | \$560k | \$1.4m | 1,717 | \$490 | 10 |
| Mission Viejo | 83 | \$732,532 | \$735,769 | 99.6\% | \$265k | \$1.3m | 1,819 | 5403 | 122 |
| Newport Beach | 29 | \$2,129,368 | \$2,204,600 | 96.6\% | \$590k | \$4.9m | 2,521 | \$845 | 83 |
| Newport Coast | 13 | \$4,275,893 | \$4,550,615 | 94.0\% | \$1.2m | \$20.6m | 4,033 | \$1,060 | 19 |
| North Tustin | 17 | \$1,319,052 | \$1,336,588 | 98.7\% | \$745k | \$2.5m | 3,010 | \$438 | 30 |
| Orange | 64 | \$764,671 | \$769,770 | 99.3\% | \$337k | \$1.8m | 1,939 | \$394 | 110 |
| Placentia | 30 | \$660,150 | \$656,550 | 100.5\% | \$285k | \$1.2m | 1,770 | \$373 | 38 |
| Portola Hills | 11 | \$693,084 | \$696,027 | 99.6\% | \$344k | \$1.3m | 1,808 | \$383 | 12 |
| Rancho Mission Viejo | 21 | \$760,347 | \$770,737 | 98.7\% | \$537k | \$1.0m | 1,913 | \$398 | 33 |
| Rancho Santa Marg. | 37 | \$610,741 | \$611,172 | 99.9\% | \$350k | \$1.0m | 1,578 | \$406 | 78 |
| Rosmoor | 7 | \$1,408,500 | \$1,436,414 | 98.1\% | \$915k | \$2.0m | 2,548 | \$553 | 10 |
| San Clemente | 67 | \$1,185,551 | \$1,220,377 | 97.1\% | \$464k | \$4.0m | 2,377 | \$499 | 87 |
| San Juan | 36 | \$931,682 | \$956,225 | 97.4\% | \$307k | \$1.8m | 2,427 | \$384 | 48 |
| Santa Ana | 69 | \$559,902 | \$559,992 | 100.0\% | \$209k | \$1.7m | 1,416 | \$396 | 92 |
| Seal Beach | 50 | \$546,256 | \$557,532 | 98.0\% | \$137k | \$1.7m | 1,209 | \$452 | 45 |
| Stanton | 10 | \$400,250 | \$394,580 | 101.4\% | \$262k | \$610k | 1,024 | \$391 | 18 |
| Talega | 13 | \$1,074,519 | \$1,112,031 | 96.6\% | \$500k | \$2.1m | 3,029 | \$361 | 23 |
| Tustin | 38 | \$714,260 | \$712,218 | 100.3\% | \$340k | \$1.8m | 1,579 | \$452 | 60 |
| Villa Park | 5 | \$1,473,000 | \$1,530,000 | 96.3\% | \$1.1m | \$2.2m | 3,912 | \$377 | 5 |
| Westminster | 14 | \$726,179 | \$726,993 | 99.9\% | \$565k | \$879k | 1,711 | \$425 | 36 |
| Yorba Linda | 60 | \$975,031 | \$992,249 | 98.3\% | \$410k | \$2.6m | 2,464 | \$396 | 80 |
| All of O.C. | 1,712 | \$891,053 | \$906,478 | 98.3\% | \$45k | \$20.6m | 1,897 | \$470 | 2,599 |
| O.C. \$0-\$500k | 343 | \$364,737 | \$368,072 | 99.1\% | \$45k | \$500k | 985 | \$370 | 512 |
| O.C. \$500k-\$750k | 544 | \$635,400 | \$634,865 | 100.1\% | \$505k | \$750k | 1,502 | \$423 | 908 |
| O.C. \$750k-\$1m | 439 | \$857,112 | \$861,963 | 99.4\% | \$752k | \$1.0m | 2,042 | \$420 | 581 |
| O.C. $\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}-$ \$1.25m | 157 | \$1,131,568 | \$1,152,864 | 98.2\% | \$1.0m | \$1.25m | 2,542 | \$445 | 220 |
| O.C. \$1.25m-\$1.5m | 84 | \$1,377,200 | \$1,413,451 | 97.4\% | \$1.26m | \$1.5m | 2,911 | \$473 | 127 |
| O.C. \$1.5m-\$2m | 75 | \$1,736,154 | \$1,776,836 | 97.7\% | \$1.5m | \$2.0m | 3,379 | \$514 | 128 |
| O.C. $\$ 2 \mathrm{~m}-4 \mathrm{~m}$ | 54 | \$2,768,063 | \$2,884,069 | 96.0\% | \$2.1m | \$4.0m | 3,946 | \$701 | 106 |
| O.C. \$4m+ | 16 | \$6,588,796 | \$7,071,375 | 93.2\% | \$4.1m | \$20.6m | 5,420 | \$1,216 | 17 |

[^0]
## Orange Councty HOUSING REPORT

|  | Number of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreclosures | \% of |
| Current | \& Short Sale | Active |
| Actives | Actives | Inventory |
| \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | $5 / 14 / 2020$ | $5 / 14 / 2020$ |


|  | Number of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreclosure | \% of |
| Current | s \& Short | Active |
| Actives | Sale Actives | Inventory |
| $5 / 14 / 2020$ | $5 / 14 / 2020$ | $5 / 14 / 2020$ |


| Aliso Viejo |
| :--- |
| Anaheim |
| Anaheim Hills |

Brea
Buena Park Corona Dell Mar Costa Mesa Cypress Dana Point Dove Canyon Foothill Ranch Fountain Valley Fullerton Garden Grove Huntington Beach Irvine La Habra
La Palma Ladera Ranch Laguna Beach Laguna Hills Laguna Niguel Laquna Woods

Lake Forest Los Alamitos Mission Viejo Newport Beach | Newport Coast |
| :--- |
| North Tustin | North Tustin Orange

Placentia Portola Hills Rancho Mission Viejo
Rancho Santa Marg. Rossmoor San Clemente San Juan Santa Ana Seal Beach Stanton Talega Tustin Villa Park Westminster Yorba Linda All of O.C.

| 61 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 166 | 2 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 78 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 64 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 43 | 1 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 114 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 118 | 1 | $0.8 \%$ |
| 79 | 1 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 37 | 1 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 184 | 1 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 15 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 14 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 41 | 2 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 132 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 94 | 2 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 313 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 618 | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 46 | 3 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 6 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 66 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 210 | 2 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 68 | 2 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 168 | 4 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 193 | 3 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 95 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 12 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 144 | 2 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 299 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 76 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 45 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 169 | 3 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 41 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 23 | 1 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 66 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 42 | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 13 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 176 | 1 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 99 | 1 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 148 | 4 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 116 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 13 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 45 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 82 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 20 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 46 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 136 | 2 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 4,867 | 43 | $0.9 \%$ |
|  | 1 | 1 |


| Attached | $5 / 14 / 2020$ | $5 / 14 / 2020$ | $5 / 14 / 2020$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All of O.C. | 1,734 | 14 | $0.8 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 0-\$ 250 \mathrm{k}$ | 115 | 1 | $0.9 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 250 \mathrm{k}-\$ 500 \mathrm{k}$ | 561 | 10 | $1.8 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 500 \mathrm{k}-5750 \mathrm{k}$ | 579 | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 750 \mathrm{k}-\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ | 218 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}+$ | $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

## Detached

| All of O.C. | 3,133 | 29 | $0.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O.C. $\$ 0 \mathrm{k}-\$ 500 \mathrm{k}$ | 31 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 500 \mathrm{k}-\$ 750 \mathrm{k}$ | 448 | 11 | $2.5 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 750 \mathrm{k}-\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ | 718 | 8 | $1.1 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 439 | 3 | $0.7 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 1.25 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 298 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 325 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 2 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 4 \mathrm{~m}$ | 580 | 4 | $0.7 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 4 \mathrm{~m}+$ | 294 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |

## All Homes

| All of O.C. | 4,867 | 43 | $0.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O.C. $\$ 0 \mathrm{k}-\$ 500 \mathrm{k}$ | 707 | 11 | $1.6 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 500 \mathrm{k}-\$ 750 \mathrm{k}$ | 1,027 | 14 | $1.4 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 750 \mathrm{k}-\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ | 936 | 8 | $0.9 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 1 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 1.25 \mathrm{~m}$ | 528 | 3 | $0.6 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 1.25 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 357 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 380 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 2 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 4 \mathrm{~m}$ | 626 | 4 | $0.6 \%$ |
| O.C. $\$ 4 \mathrm{~m}+$ | 306 | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |

## Orange County Distressed Breakdown

|  | Current <br> Actives |  | Pendings <br> (Last 30 <br> Days) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Market <br> Time <br> (In Days) |
| :---: |
| Total Foreclosures |
| Total Short Sale |


| County High Shares - Account for 51\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| La Habra | 46 | 3 | 6.5\% |
| Fountain Valley | 41 | 2 | 4.9\% |
| Portola Hills | 23 | 1 | 4.3\% |
| Laguna Hills | 68 | 2 | 2.9\% |
| Cypress | 37 | 1 | 2.7\% |
| Santa Ana | 148 | 4 | 2.7\% |
| Laguna Niguel | 168 | 4 | 2.4\% |
| Rancho Santa Mar | 42 | 1 | 2.4\% |
| Buena Park | 43 | 1 | 2.3\% |
| Garden Grove | 94 | 2 | 2.1\% |
| County Low Shares - No Distressed |  |  |  |
| Aliso Viejo | Lake Forest |  |  |
| Anaheim Hills | Los Alamito |  |  |
| Brea | Newport Co | ast |  |
| Corona DelMar | North Tustí |  |  |
| Dove Canyon | Placentia |  |  |
| Foothill Ranch | Rancho Mis | sion Viejo |  |
| Fullerton | Rossmoor |  |  |
| Huntington Beach | Seal Beach | Tustin |  |
| La Palma | Stanton | Villa Park |  |
| Ladera Ranch | Talega | Westminster |  |


Not all citles are listed but are included in the O.C. totals.

## Southern California Housing

## All Properties

|  | Current <br> Actives <br> 5/14/2020 | Demand <br> (Last 30 <br> Days <br> Pendings) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Market } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (In Days) } \\ & 5 / 14 / 2020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Market } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \text { 2-Weeks } \\ & \text { Ago } \\ & 4 / 30 / 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Market Time 4-Weeks Ago 4/16/2020 | Market <br> Time <br> 1-Year Ago <br> 5/16/2019 | Market Time 2-Years Ago 5/17/2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles County | 10,112 | 3,532 | 86 | 114 | 109 | 72 | 59 |
| Orange County | 4,867 | 1,622 | 90 | 118 | 121 | 84 | 63 |
| Riverside County | 6,704 | 2,361 | 85 | 113 | 130 | 89 | 78 |
| San Bernardino County | 4,440 | 1,880 | 71 | 93 | 103 | 74 | 63 |
| San Diego County | 5,330 | 2,529 | 63 | 85 | 88 | 65 | 55 |
| Ventura County | 1,330 | 433 | 92 | 94 | 96 | 56 | 53 |
| SOCAL TOTALS | 32,783 | 12,357 | 81 | 103 | 108 | 73 | 64 |

## Distressed Properties - Foreclosures and Short Sales

|  | Current <br> Actives 5/14/2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Demand } \\ & \text { (Last } 30 \\ & \text { Days } \\ & \text { Pendings) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Market } \\ \text { Time } \\ \text { (In Days) } \\ 5 / 14 / 2020 \end{gathered}$ | Market Time 2-Weeks Ago 4/30/2020 | Market Time 4-Weeks Ago 4/16/2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Market } \\ \text { Time } \\ \text { 1-Year Ago } \\ 5 / 16 / 2019 \end{gathered}$ | Market <br> Time 2-Years Ago 5/17/2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles County | 112 | 72 | 47 | 60 | 75 | 43 | 29 |
| Orange County | 42 | 22 | 57 | 69 | 68 | 53 | 45 |
| Riverside County | 136 | 70 | 58 | 77 | 75 | 59 | 68 |
| San Bernardino County | 107 | 44 | 73 | 78 | 113 | 38 | 54 |
| San Diego County | 44 | 21 | 63 | 62 | 100 | 62 | 36 |
| Ventura County | 17 | 5 | 102 | 35 | 37 | 83 | 41 |
| SOCAL TOTALS | 458 | 234 | 67 | 64 | 78 | 56 | 46 |


[^0]:    Data tabulated from CRMLS. This data may not refect all real estate activty in the market. Not al citles are listed but are included in the O.C. totals.

